

REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register:	21 July 1997
Name of applicant/court:	Secretary for Internal Affairs
Applicant to the Labelling Body:	Not Applicable
Title of Publication:	Best of Vivid
Other Known Titles:	Not Stated
Director:	Not Applicable
Producer:	Not Applicable
Publisher:	Vivid Interactive
Author:	Not Applicable
Format:	CD-ROM Cassette Case
Country of Origin:	USA
Language:	English

.....
Components of film originally examined: Not Applicable.

Feature:	Running time:
Trailers:	Running time:
	Total Running time:

Excision/Alteration: No.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

... Please turn over

Classification Decision:

Objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 18 years.

Display conditions:

Section 27

Either

The publication must be publicly displayed only in premises, or a part of premises, set aside for the public display of restricted publications (whether or not articles other than restricted publications are also displayed in those premises or that part of those premises).

Or

The publication must not be publicly displayed in any place in which the publication is available for supply, and must be shown in that place only to persons who make a direct request for the publication.

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

.....

Direction to issue a label has been given on: Not Applicable.

.....

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

The Classification Office classifies the CD-ROM cassette case *Best of Vivid* as:
Objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 18 years.

The cassette case advertises the sexually explicit CD-ROM *Best of Vivid* and other similar products. The case contains five small photographic images. Four of these images present women posing in a sexually suggestive manner. One of these four images depicts a naked female from the waist up, posing in a sexually provocative manner. The Classification Office considers that the partial nudity of one woman and the sexually suggestive and provocative manner in which the women pose in these images means that the availability of the publication is likely to be injurious to the public good unless it is restricted to an adult audience. This restriction is considered to be a reasonable and demonstrably justified limitation of the rights and freedoms in s14 of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990.

OFLC Ref: 9601237